Theory of optical transmission through elliptical nanohole arrays
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We present a theory that explains (in the quasistatic limit) the experimentally observed [R. Gordon et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 037401 (2004)] squared dependence of the ratio of polarizations on the aspect ratio of the holes, as well as other features of extraordinary light transition. We calculated the effective dielectric tensor of a metal film penetrated by elliptical cylindrical holes and found the extraordinarily light transmission at special frequencies related to the surface plasmon resonances of the composite film. We also propose to use the magnetic field for getting a strong polarization effect, which depends on the ratio of the cyclotron to plasmon frequencies.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A pioneering paper of Ebbesen et al.,1 reported on an extraordinary optical transmission through periodic holes array in metallic films. This was explained by the coupling of light with surface plasmons, the latter being treated as coupled waves, propagating along both film surfaces (in framework of the theory described in Ref. 2). Since Ref. 1 the study of the transmission properties of subwavelength apertures has become a very active area of research in electromagnetism,3 which stimulates the development of many new analytical and numerical approaches. Even though many of these methods were based on a Fourier expansion of hole arrays and as a consequence could take into account the hole shape through its Fourier transform,4 most of the studies were restricted to the circular or square shapes of the holes. Only quite recently the nonisotropic shape of inclusions and their dramatic influence on the optical properties were taken into intensive studies. A system with elliptical holes was considered in the experimental work of Gordon et al. (see Ref. 5), and a system with rectangular holes was considered in the theoretical work of Sarrazin and Vigneron (see Ref. 6). Simultaneously with this, several other experimental7–9 as well as theoretical10,11 works have focused on the influence of the elliptical and rectangular hole shape on the optical transmission properties (of periodical arrays as well as single subwavelength holes). These papers have considered the polarization properties of the systems with nonisotropic holes and have stimulated big interest in this problem.12–16 In particular, the polarization and huge enhancement properties of a single rectangular hole were studied theoretically in detail in Ref. 13.

We would like to note in this context, that in order to see the above-mentioned effects it is not necessary to have holes that are actually nonisotropic. Already in Ref. 17 (in which we have considered the effect of the applied static magnetic field on the extraordinary light transmission) we have shown that the presence of the magnetic field transforms the initially circular holes into effective holes that have an elliptic shape. This happens in the rescaled virtual coordinate space and through this introduces some optical anisotropy into the system. This was a particular case of a more general theory developed in Ref. 18, in which it was shown that the magneto-optical properties, as well as plasmon frequencies of the periodic metal-dielectric composite, depend upon the microstructure and can therefore be controlled by both the magnitude and the direction of the applied dc magnetic field.

In this paper we present a theory, which in the quasistatic (long wavelength) limit explains the squared dependence of the ratio of polarizations on the aspect ratio of the holes (experimentally observed in Ref. 5), as well as other optical features. This theory is a further development of our methods described in Refs. 17–20. We also note that similar effects (e.g., light polarization) can be reached by applying a static magnetic field. The possibility to affect the plasmons and the optical properties of nanosystems by applied magnetic field is also considered in Refs. 21 and 22.

The remainder of this paper is arranged as follows. In Sec. II, we describe our method of calculating the ratio of polarizations as well as the effective permittivity tensor \( \hat{\varepsilon} \) for both random and ordered arrangements of elliptical holes in a metallic film. In Sec. III, we present numerical results for both cases, based on these expressions, followed by a brief discussion in Sec. IV.

II. FORMALISM

Let us consider a geometry which corresponds to the above-mentioned experiment:5 a metal film with a square array of identical elliptical holes. A monochromatic light beam of angular frequency \( \omega \) impinges upon this film along the perpendicular axis \( y \), with linear polarization along the principal axis \( x \) of the array (see Fig. 1).

Following Refs. 17–20, we can treat the holes as dielectric inclusions embedded in a conducting host.17,23 If the holes form a periodic lattice, as in experiments, this description is suitable, provided the lattice constant is much smaller than the wavelength, i.e., when the film is homogeneous on a scale of the electromagnetic wavelength. In this approach, the local electric potential \( \phi^{(\omega)}(r) \) is then the solution of a boundary value problem based upon the Laplace partial differential equation

\[
\nabla \cdot \hat{\varepsilon}_M \cdot \nabla \phi^{(\omega)} = \nabla \cdot \theta_f \delta \cdot \nabla \phi^{(\omega)},
\]

and the boundary condition \( \phi^{(\omega)} = r_\alpha \). Here \( r_\alpha \) is the \( \alpha \) component of \( r \), \( \hat{\varepsilon}_f \) and \( \hat{\varepsilon}_M \) are the electrical permittivity tensors.
Then elliptic cylinder inclusion will be transformed into the electric field of the inclusions and the metal host, respectively, $\delta \hat{\varepsilon} = \hat{\varepsilon}_M - \hat{\varepsilon}_I$, $\theta(t)\{\text{r}\}$ is the characteristic function describing the location and the shape of the inclusions ($\theta(t) = 1$ inside the inclusions and $\theta(t) = 0$ outside of them).\textsuperscript{17,23–25}

The host with the anisotropic permittivity tensor $\hat{\varepsilon}_M$ can be transformed to an isotropic $\hat{\varepsilon}'_M$ using the rescaling of the Cartesian coordinates (\(\xi_1 = x/|\hat{\varepsilon}_{xx}|, \xi_2 = y/|\hat{\varepsilon}_{yy}|, \xi_3 = z/|\hat{\varepsilon}_{zz}|\)). Then elliptic cylinder inclusion will be transformed into some new elliptic cylinder in the rescaled virtual $\xi$ space, and the electric field $\hat{E}_I = \nabla \phi_I$ inside this single inclusion (inclined by some angle $\alpha_0$ in respect to the main axes \[\text{see Fig. 1(b)}\]) can be found from the system of linear equations,\textsuperscript{26,27}

$$E_{a}^{(f)} = E_{a} + \sum_{\beta, \gamma} n_{a \beta} \delta \varepsilon_{\beta \gamma} (E_{a \alpha}^{(M)} \varepsilon_{\alpha \beta}^{(M)} - 1/2) E_{\gamma}^{(f)},$$

where $n_{a \beta}$ are the Cartesian components of the depolarization factor.\textsuperscript{26,27} The latter can be transformed to the diagonal form $n_{a \beta} = n_{a} \delta_{a \beta}$ by a simple coordinate rotation $r' = \hat{R}(\alpha_0) \cdot r$, where $\alpha_0$ is the angle on inclination of the elliptic holes from the lattice axes [\text{see Fig. 1(b)}], $\hat{R}(\alpha_0)$ is the rotation matrix

$$\hat{R}(\alpha_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha_0 & -\sin \alpha_0 \\ \sin \alpha_0 & \cos \alpha_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which directs the new coordinate axes along the principal ellipse axes. Note that $n_{a \beta}$ (as well as $n_a$) now depends on the precise shape of the transformed inclusion, and therefore is a function of $\hat{\varepsilon}_M$. If the coordinate axes are the principal axes of the inclusion ($n_{a \beta} = n_a \delta_{a \beta}$), then the elliptic cylindric hole of the semiaxes $a$ and $c$ (with symmetry axis along $y$) transforms in $\xi$ space into a new elliptic cylinder with semiaxes $a' = a/\sqrt{\varepsilon_{xx}}$ and $c' = c/\sqrt{\varepsilon_{zz}}$

$$n_x = \frac{c'}{a' + c'} = \frac{c \sqrt{\varepsilon_{xx}}}{c \sqrt{\varepsilon_{xx}} + a \sqrt{\varepsilon_{zz}}},$$

$$n_y = 0, \quad n_z = 1 - n_x.$$  

For simplicity, we assume the host permittivity tensor has the form $\hat{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_0 \hat{I} + i2\pi \sigma \hat{t}$, where the conductivity tensor $\sigma$ is taken in the free-electron Drude approximation with $\varepsilon_0 || z$.

$$\sigma = \frac{\omega_p^2 \tau}{4\pi} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - i \omega \tau & -H & 0 \\ H(1 - i \omega \tau)^2 + H^2 & (1 - i \omega \tau)^2 + H^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1 - i \omega \tau)^2 + H^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\varepsilon_0$ is the scalar dielectric constant of the background ionic lattice, and $\hat{I}$ is the unit tensor. The magnetic field enters only through the Hall-to-Ohmic resistivity ratio $H = \mu \varepsilon / \rho = \sigma_{xy} / \sigma_x = \mu |\varepsilon_0| = \omega_c \tau$, where $\omega_e = eB/\mu c$ is the cyclotron frequency, $\tau$ is the conductivity relaxation time, $\omega_p = (4\pi e^2 N_0 / m)^{1/2}$ is the plasma frequency, $N_0$ is the charge carrier concentration, $m$ is its effective mass, and $\mu$ is the Hall mobility.

When $\varepsilon_{xx} = \varepsilon_0$ (H=0), we have $n_x = c(1 + a + c)$. However, when $H > 0$ the depolarization factor has a complicated dependence on $\omega$.

Solving the system of linear equations (2), we obtain the following expression for the uniform electric field inside the original elliptical cylinder (when $B_0 || z$):

$$E_I = \hat{\gamma} \cdot E_0,$$

where $\hat{\gamma}$ is a $3 \times 3$ matrix whose nonzero components are $\gamma_{xx} = \varepsilon_{xx} / (\varepsilon_{xx} - n_x \delta_{xx}), \gamma_{yy} = n_x \delta_{yy} / (\varepsilon_{xx} - n_x \delta_{xx}), \gamma_{yz} = 1, \gamma_{zz} = \varepsilon_{zz} / (\varepsilon_{zz} - n_z \delta_{zz})$.

### A. Ratio of polarizations

From Eq. (7) it follows that the ratio of polarizations \[\text{i.e., the ratio of the light intensity } I, \text{ (polarized parallel to the x axis) to the light intensity } I_z, \text{ (polarized parallel to the z axis)}, \text{ and therefore proportional to } |E_I / E_0|^2,\] can be written (in the case of $n_{a \beta} = n_a \delta_{a \beta}$)

$$I / I_z = \left| \sum_{\alpha = xx, yy, zz} \frac{\varepsilon_{xx}^{(M)} \varepsilon_{\alpha}^{(M)} + a \varepsilon_{\alpha}^{(f)}}{\varepsilon_{xx}^{(M)} + a \varepsilon_{xx}^{(f)}} \varepsilon_{\alpha}^{(f)} E_0^{(f)} E_I^{(f)} \right|^2.$$  
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FIG. 2. (Color online) The ratio of polarizations of the transmitted light as a function of the aspect ratio \(a/c\) of the holes for different \(\lambda\). For the values of \(\lambda\) from \(500\) nm to \(700\) nm the curves are close enough to the square law \(y=x^2\) (left-hand dashed curve), while already for \(\lambda=550\) nm and \(\lambda=500\) nm (shown by dotted lines) the polarization ratio is essentially different from this law.

are characterized by the scalar tensors \(\hat{\varepsilon}_M=\varepsilon_M\hat{I}, \hat{\varepsilon}_i=\varepsilon_i\hat{I}\) (where \(\hat{I}\) is a unit matrix). We assume also that \(E_{0x}=E_{0w}\). When \(c\varepsilon_M\gg a\varepsilon_i\) and \(a\varepsilon_M\gg c\varepsilon_p\), what might be true in the simple limit \(a\varepsilon_M\gg a\sigma/\omega\) and \(\omega\tau\gg 1\) with \(H=0\) (situation of Ref. 5), then from Eq. (8) it follows that

\[
I_x/I_z = |E_x|/|E_z|^2 = (c/a)^2,
\]
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as in Fig. 4 of Ref. 5.

In Fig. 2 we show the ratio (8) for different wavelengths, \(\lambda\). Using the data29 of the complex permittivity \(\varepsilon_M\) of the evaporated gold, we can see that in the wavelength’s range between \(\lambda=500\) nm and \(\lambda=500\) nm (\(\varepsilon_M\approx 14.7+i1.0\)), the curves are close enough to the law \((c/a)^2\), while already for \(\lambda=500\) nm (for which \(\varepsilon_M\approx 2.7+i3.1\)), the polarization ratio \(|E_x/E_z|^2\) (shown by the dotted line) is essentially different from this law.

If \(H\neq 0\) and \(a=c\), then \(I_x/I_z=|\varepsilon_{ex}/\varepsilon_{ey}|\) [see Eq. (8)]. In the Drude approximation (6) (and in the limit \(e_0\to 0\), \(\omega_p\tau\to 1\)) this takes the simplest form

\[
I_x/I_z = |E_x/E_z|^2 = 1 - (\omega/\omega_p)^2,
\]
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from which it follows that the ratio of polarizations \(I_x/I_z\) can be made arbitrarily large, since the value \(\omega/\omega_p = (\omega/\omega_p)^{-1}\) \(\times (\omega/\omega_p)^{-1} = (H/\omega_p\tau)(\omega/\omega_p)^{-1}\) [where \(H=\omega\tau\), see comments to Eq. (6),] can be made as close to 1 as necessary.

B. Effective permittivity tensor and surface plasmon resonances

Next, we approximate computate the tensor \(\hat{\varepsilon}_M(\omega)\), which is defined by the relation \(\hat{\varepsilon}_M(\mathbf{r})=\langle \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle\), where \(\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle\) denotes a volume average, and \(\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r})\) is the local dielectric tensor. In the case of the dilute collection of the elliptic cylinders, the tensor \(\hat{\varepsilon}_M\) takes the form \[\hat{\varepsilon}_M = p \hat{\varepsilon}_0\] where \(p\) is the volume fraction of inclusions. For the case \(H=0\) (and when \(E_0\) and the coordinate axes are directed along the symmetry axes of the ellipse), \(\hat{\varepsilon}_M\) takes the form

\[
\hat{\varepsilon}_M = \varepsilon_M[1 - p \delta e_i/(\varepsilon_M - n_i \delta e_i)],
\]
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where \(n_i\) is given by Eq. (5), and \(i=x,y,z\).

The frequency \(\omega_{sp,i}\) of the surface plasmon (SP) polarized in the \(i\)th direction is the one in which \(\mathbf{E}\) [see Eq. (7) and Eq. (11)] becomes very large even for a very small applied field. This condition is satisfied when \(\varepsilon_M(\omega_{sp,i}) - n_i \delta e_i(\omega_{sp,i}) = 0\). Substituting Eq. (6) into this, and letting \(\omega_p\tau \to \infty\), one obtains

\[
\omega_{sp,i} = \omega_p \sqrt{(1 - n_i)^2 + (1 - n_i)^2}.
\]
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For \(i=1\) this simplifies into \(\omega_{sp,1} = \omega_p \sqrt{1 - n_c}\).

In Maxwell-Garnett (MG) or Clausius-Mossotti approximations,24,25 expressions for \(\varepsilon_c\) and \(\omega_{sp}\) can be obtained directly from Eqs. (11) and (12), obtained in dilute approximation just by formal substitution \(n_i \to n_i/(1-p)\).

When the aspect ratio \(c/a\) tends to infinity the considered geometry transforms into the case of the parallel slabs, which can be solved exactly, \(1/E_x = pM/e_M + pI/e_p\). Using the form (6), we can find the resonance frequency

\[
\omega_{sp} = \omega_p \sqrt{p(\varepsilon_M + \varepsilon_p)}.
\]
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This coincides with Eq. (12) in the limit \(n_i \to 1\). The other exact solvable geometry, parallel cylinders, for which \(n_i \to 0\), does not give any resonance, \(e_c = pMe_M + pI/e_I = 1 - pM(\varepsilon_p/\omega)^2\).

C. Transmission coefficient

The dependence of the transmission coefficient \(T\) on frequency \(\omega\) [as well as on the wavelength \(\lambda\) (see Fig. 4)] for different film thicknesses, can be obtained from the effective value \(e_c\) using the known expression,20,28,30 for \(T = |t|^2\), where

\[
t = \frac{1 - r_{12}^2}{\exp(-i\chi) - r_{12} \exp(i\chi)},
\]
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and \(r_{12} = -(N/(1+1)) \times (\omega_p h/c)\), \(\chi = (\omega_0/c)hN = (\omega_0/c)hN\), and \(h\) is the film thickness.20,28,30

D. Malus’ law

Since the angular dependence of the permittivity tensor in the rotated coordinate system

\[
\hat{\varepsilon}_M' = \hat{R}(\alpha + \alpha_0) \cdot \hat{\varepsilon}_M' \cdot \hat{R}^{-1}(\alpha + \alpha_0)
\]

15

[where \(\hat{R}(\alpha + \alpha_0)\) is given by Eq. (3) and \(\alpha\) is the polarization angle, i.e., the angle between the vector \(E_0\) and the axes of the ellipse, see Fig. 1(b)] is a cosinelike

\[
\hat{\varepsilon}_M' = \varepsilon_{xx}' \cos^2(\alpha + \alpha_0) + \varepsilon_{zz}' \sin^2(\alpha + \alpha_0),
\]
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the angular dependence of the transmission coefficient \(T(\alpha)\) appears (depending on the values of \(a/c\) and \(\omega_0 h/c\)) also to be cosinelike. This explains the Malus’ law6,31 of the light transmission, \(T\), observed in Refs. 5 and 31.
III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

When the holes are arranged on a two-dimensional periodic lattice\footnote{a} a more suitable approach is a Fourier expansion technique.\footnote{b} Since \( \theta_j(r) \) and \( \psi^{(a)} = \phi^{(a)} - p^{(a)} \) are now periodical functions, they can be expanded in a Fourier series. This transforms Eq. (1) into an infinite set of linear algebraic equations for the Fourier coefficients

\[
\psi^{(a)} = \frac{1}{V} \int_V \psi^{(a)}(r)e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} dV, \tag{17}
\]

where \( g = (2\pi/d)(m_x, m_y, m_z) \) is a vector of the appropriate reciprocal lattice, \( m_x, m_y, m_z \) are the arbitrary integers, \( d \) is a lattice constant, and \( V \) is the volume of a unit cell. After solving a truncated, finite subset of those equations (in our calculations the range of arbitrary integers \( m_x \) is from \(-40 \) up to \(+40 \), in each direction\footnote{c}), we can use those Fourier coefficients \( \psi^{(a)} \) in order to calculate the bulk effective macroscopic electric permittivity tensor \( \tilde{\varepsilon} \), using the procedure described in Refs. 17 and 23–25.

A. Elliptical holes

The Fourier coefficient \( \theta_k \) of the \( \theta_j(r) \) function of the elliptical hole (inclined by the angle \( \alpha_0 \) in respect to the main lattice axes) has the form\footnote{d}\footnote{e}

\[
\theta_k = \frac{1}{V} \int_V \theta_j(r)e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} dV = \frac{\pi a c}{a^2 g_{\perp}} J_1(g_{\perp}) \sin \left( \frac{|g_{\parallel}|h/2}{|g_{\parallel}|} \right), \tag{18}
\]

where \( J_1(x) \) is a Bessel function and \( g_{\perp}(\alpha) = [a^2(g_x \cos \alpha + g_z \sin \alpha)^2 + c^2(g_z \cos \alpha - g_x \sin \alpha)^2]^{1/2} \).

In Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) we show the imaginary and real parts of \( \varepsilon_{x}(\omega) \) vs \( \omega/\omega_p \), respectively. The curves without points are the two principal in-plane components \( \varepsilon_{xx}^{(e)} \) and \( \varepsilon_{yy}^{(e)} \) (corresponding to polarizations \( E_0 || x \) and \( E_0 || y \), respectively) as obtained in the dilute and MG approximation. The full and open squares in Fig. 3(a) denote the same quantities, but now for a square lattice (of lattice constant \( d \)) of elliptical holes with the same aspect ratio and volume (surface in two dimensions) fraction \( p \) as in MG and dilute approximations. In this case, \( \varepsilon_{xx}^{(e)} \) and \( \varepsilon_{yy}^{(e)} \) are calculated by the Fourier expansion technique\footnote{f} mentioned above.

Finally, in Fig. 3(c), we show the calculated [using Eq. (14)] transmission coefficient \( T(\omega) \) for the dielectric functions shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). This coefficient shows the characteristic “extraordinary transmission.” Both approximations show rather sharp SP peaks in the transmission, which occur at different frequencies depending on the polarization of the incident radiation. They occur at slightly different frequencies from the SP peaks themselves. This is the expected behavior, since the SP peaks correspond to absorption maxima. In fact, the transmission peaks occur at frequencies which correspond closely to the maxima in the real part of \( \varepsilon_x(\omega) \) for the chosen polarization.

The amplitudes and frequencies of the peaks (for both polarizations \( E_0 || x \) and \( E_0 || z \)) depend on the aspect-ratio \( a/c \). For polarization along the \( z \) axis the resonance frequency \( \omega_{spz} \) shifts for a larger value and its amplitude reduces drastically. Estimating the imaginary part of \( \delta \varepsilon_{xx}^{(e)} = \varepsilon_{xx}^{(e)} - \varepsilon_M \) [see Eq. (11)] at the resonance frequency \( \omega_{spz} \), we found that the ratio \( \text{Im} \delta \varepsilon_{xx}^{(e)}(\omega_{spz})/\text{Im} \delta \varepsilon_{xx}^{(e)}(\omega_{ep}) \) is of the order \( \sim (n_i/n_j)^{5/2} = (c/a)^{5/2} \). For the aspect ratio \( a/c = 0.3 \) this is of the order \( \sim 20 \), in agreement with our numerical calculations [see Fig. 3(a)]. One might expect that in the extraordinary light transition the maximum at \( \omega_{ep} \) will also be much smaller when compared to the maximum at \( \omega_{spz} \), but [as we can see in Figs. 3(c) and 4] they are of the same order. In Fig. 4 we show the data, presented in Fig. 3(c), in terms of wavelength \( \lambda \). The calculations were performed for the systems with aspect ratio \( a/c = 0.33 \) for the surface fraction of holes, \( p = 0.0944 \), \( \xi_1 = 1 \), \( \xi = \omega_p h/c = 1 \), \( \omega_p \tau = 40 \), \( \omega_p = 3 \times 10^{15} \text{ rad/s} \) (typical for the Au value,\footnote{g} so that \( \omega_p h/c = 1 \)), and the film thickness \( h = 100 \text{ nm} \).

B. Rectangular holes

The depolarization factor \( n \) cannot be derived in the closed forms. That is, the resonance frequencies and the simple analytical expressions, such as Eqs. (11) and (12),
FIG. 4. (Color online) The similar to Fig. 3(c) drawings, but vs wavelength \( \lambda \). The peak at \( \lambda = 600–700 \) nm corresponds to \( \mathbf{E}_0||x \) (i.e., \( p \)) polarization (shown in Fig. 2 of Ref. 5), while the peak at \( \lambda \approx 400 \) nm corresponds to \( \mathbf{E}_0||x \) polarization (not shown in Fig. 2 of Ref. 5). Filled and open circles show results obtained for the case of elliptical holes (see left-hand inset), while filled and open squares show results obtained for the case of rectangular holes (see right-hand inset). Insets: cross sections of the unit cell with the elliptical hole (left-hand side) and with the rectangular hole (right-hand side) used in our calculations. The elliptical hole’s semi-axes are \( a = 0.1d \) and \( c = 0.3d \). The rectangular hole’s sites are \( l_x = 2 \times 0.1d \), \( l_z = 2 \times 0.3d \), so that the aspect ratio of both geometries is the same \( a/c = l_x/l_z = 0.33 \). \( d \) is the size of the unit cell. The film thickness is \( h = 100 \) nm. \( \omega_p = 3 \cdot 10^{15} \) rad/s.

cannot be obtained in the framework of the theory presented above for the rectangular holes. However, our numerical procedure provides us with a way to calculate the light transmission even in this case. The Fourier coefficient \( \theta_k \) of the \( \delta(r) \) function [see Eq. (18)] for the rectangular inclusion is given by the following expression:25

\[
\theta_k = \left( \frac{2}{d} \right)^3 \left[ \frac{\sin g_x}{g_x} \right] \left[ \frac{\sin g_y}{g_y} \right] \left[ \frac{\sin g_z}{g_z} \right],
\]  

(19)

where \( l_x, l_y, l_z \) are the sizes of the rectangular prism.

In Fig. 4 we show the light transmission \( T(\omega) \) vs \( \omega \) dependencies obtained numerically for light transmission through an array of rectangular holes with the same aspect ratio (minor site to major site) \( l_x/l_z = 0.33 \). Since the semi-axes of the elliptical hole \( (p = 2a \times 2c = 0.12) \) is larger than that of the elliptic one \( (\pi ac = 0.094) \), the peak of the light transmission [which is proportional to \( p \), see Eq. (11)] at \( \lambda \approx 700 \) nm is higher. The light transmission peaks at \( \lambda \approx 400 \) nm for both rectangular and elliptical holes practically coincide. These results can be compared with results of Refs. 7, 9, and 12–15. Note that we have considered the metal parameter as well as the hole sizes to be consistent with Ref. 5.

The sizes of the holes as well as the materials from which the perforated films were prepared, can be different in Refs. 7, 9, and 12–15 in comparison with Ref. 5 and our calculations, and, therefore, the resonance frequencies can also be different.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Our method of calculation assumes that the holes size and the lattice constant of the hole lattice are small compared to the wavelength of light. We emphasize that in our calculations we have not used any absolute values (except for the film thickness, \( h = 100 \) nm), but only relative ones—namely, the ratio between the holes sizes and the distance between the holes. In order to estimate the holes size in possible future experiments, for which our calculations are valid, we note that the expression for the cutoff frequency (i.e., the frequency in which the effective permittivity \( \varepsilon_e \) becomes negative) can be obtained from Eq. (11), \( \omega_{\text{cutoff}} = \sqrt{\varepsilon - \rho \omega_{\text{p},p}} \). For \( p \) polarization (i.e., \( \mathbf{E}_0||x \)) and the geometry used in Ref. 5, \( \omega_{\text{cutoff}} \approx 0.5\omega_p \) (see Fig. 3), which for Au film corresponds to \( \lambda_{\text{cutoff}} = 2\pi c/\omega_{\text{cutoff}} \approx 600 \) nm. As it can be seen from Fig. 4, the transmission peak for \( p \) polarization is pronounced in the range \( \lambda \approx 600–900 \) nm. Therefore, the characteristic sizes of the holes should be smaller than 600 nm. Note that in the experiment described in Ref. 5, the hole sizes\(^{32} \) were of the order \( \sim 100–300 \) nm while the interhole distances were of the order \( \sim 700 \) nm.

Even though we have used the quasistatic approximation, we believe that the effects predicted here for such holes sizes and lattice constants should persist even for lattice constants comparable with wavelength, as happens in most experiments to date. One reason for our belief is that, even though the spacing and wavelength are comparable, the electric field of a normally incident plane wave is uniform in the direction transverse to the wave propagation. The extension of the present calculations to this regime will be challenging, since the computation of transmission through a perforated metallic film is quite intricate when the wavelength and lattice constant are comparable. It would be of great interest if the present calculations could be extended into this regime.

In summary, we have studied analytically and numerically the extraordinary transmission through perforated metal films with elliptical holes. We have explained analytically the optical features found experimentally and described in Ref. 5. Our numerical results are in good agreement with experimental data. We also propose to use the magnetic field for getting a strong polarization effect, which depends on the ratio \( \omega_\text{cutoff}/\omega_p \). As a material which may be suitable for this purpose the bismuth can be considered, where the low carrier density \( (\sim 3 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}) \) can make the carrier cyclotron energies, \( \omega_c \), equal to or greater than the plasmon energy, \( \omega_p^{-5} \). Another possibility is to use semiconducting materials like GaAs and InAs\(^{17,18,22,34} \).
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